

10-20-'05 15:17 FROM-Lerner & Greenberg

+9549251101

T-691 P01/03 U-751

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

GR 00 P 1781

OCT 20 2005 BOX AF

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.


Signature

October 20, 2005
Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applic. No. : 09/839,767 Confirmation No. 2019
Applicant : Joachim Held, et al.
Filed : April 20, 2001
Title : Integrated Circuit
Group Art Unit : 2816.0
Examiner : Dinh Thanh Le

Docket No. : GR 00 P 1781
Customer No. : 24131

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop AF
Hon. Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir :

Applicants thank Supervising Examiner Timothy Callahan and Examiner Le for the courtesy shown to Applicants' representative during the telephone conversations of today.

Confirming my telephone conversation of today with Examiner Le, it is my understanding that Examiner Le will be issuing a supplemental Office Action that takes into consideration the claims submitted in the previous Response, including the

10-20-'05 15:17 FROM-Lerner & Greenberg

+9549251101

T-691 P02/03 U-751

Appl. No. 09/839,767
Response Dated October 20, 2005
Responsive to Office Action of October 13, 2005

limitation "integrated circuit being a microprocessor or microcontroller". As is evident from the claims and as stated in our telephone conversation, the limitation in claim 1 stating that the "integrated circuit being a microprocessor or microcontroller", appears in the body of the claim and, contrary to the statement on page 6 of the Office Action, not in the preamble.

Additionally, it is expected that, if the claimed combination including the "integrated circuit being a microprocessor or microcontroller" is believed by Examiner Le to be found in the prior art, the Supplemental Office Action will point out with specificity where in the prior art the claimed combination appears, including that the "integrated circuit being a microprocessor or microcontroller".

Further, in accordance with both my conversation of today with Supervising Examiner Timothy Callahan and Examiner Le, it is my understanding that the time for response will start from the mailing date of the Supplemental Office Action, and not from the mailing date of the present Office Action.

If anything herein is incorrect, please let me know as soon as possible.


10-20-'05 15:17 FROM-Lerner & Greenberg

+9549251101

T-691 P03/03 U-751

Applic. No. 09/831,767
Response Dated October 20, 2005
Responsive to Office Action of October 13, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



For Applicants

Kerry P. Sisselman
Reg. No. 37,237

October 20, 2005

Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.
Post Office Box 2480
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480
Tel: (954) 925-1100
Fax: (954) 925-1101